

**ANALYSIS OF THE BOOK BY DOCTOR OF POLITICAL SCIENCES,
PROFESSOR SHUKRITDIN PAKHRUTDINOV, «THREATS TO
DEVELOPMENT: THEORY AND PRACTICE», IN THE CONTEXT OF
CONTEMPORARY GLOBAL POLITICAL PROCESSES**

Komil Avazov

Associate Professor, Head of the Department of Social and
Humanitarian Sciences, Center for Pedagogical Excellence, Surkhandarya Region

ABSTRACT	KEYWORDS
<p>This paper analyzes Shukritdin Pakhrutdinov’s book «Taraqqiyotga tahdid: nazariya va amaliyot» (Threats to Development: Theory and Practice) in the context of contemporary global political processes. The book represents a comprehensive philosophical and political science study of the nature of threats to societal and state development, emphasizing their systemic interconnection with social, economic, political, and spiritual dimensions. The author treats threats not as random crises but as objective phenomena requiring a comprehensive and preventive approach. Special attention is given to the critique of the “struggle of opposites” concept in politics and the development of a framework based on proportionality, complementarity, and consensus to achieve sustainable development. The study examines economic, informational, ideological, and spiritual threats, demonstrating their relevance through contemporary local and global conflicts, sanctions, economic instability, and globalization processes. The author proposes strategies for early threat detection, economic diversification, social protection, and strengthening the spiritual and cultural potential of society. The analysis shows that Pakhrutdinov’s ideas are of universal significance and can guide state and international strategies aimed at crisis prevention and long-term stability.</p>	<p>Threats to development, sustainable development, social stability, economic threats, ideological risks, information warfare, spiritual threats, globalization, international conflicts, economic diversification, social protection, consensus and cooperation, political philosophy, preventive threat management.</p>

Introduction

In his book “Threat to Development: Theory and Practice”, Professor **Shukritdin Pakhrutdinov** provides a comprehensive analysis of the phenomenon of threats to society and the state, considering them not as accidental crisis events but as objectively determined and integral elements of socio-political development.

According to Pakhrutdinov, social and institutional stability depends on the political consciousness of the population, the quality of governance, the effectiveness of institutions, and the spiritual and moral condition of society. Sustainable development is impossible without a systematic analysis of internal

and external threats and the development of comprehensive strategies for their prevention. Social, economic, political, and spiritual risks are dynamic, interdependent, and capable of escalation. A state's inability to identify them in a timely manner leads to institutional crises, declining legitimacy of power, and increasing conflict potential.

The author assigns a special role in maintaining stability to the level of trust and dialogue between state institutions and society. Increasing distance between the authorities and citizens contributes to the growth of dissatisfaction and raises the risk of ideological, political, and other threats. Open dialogue and cooperation are therefore considered key factors of sustainable development.

Modern threats, according to the author, can be divided into two types: **traditional threats**, which maintain historical continuity, and **new threats**, emerging from fundamentally different socio-political and technological dynamics.

As **Islam Karimov** once noted, "it is not always possible to foresee threats," which raises important questions about the predictability and manageability of risks, the limits of political legitimacy, and the role of rational human thinking in shaping sustainable strategies for preventing crises.

Despite the existence of a wide range of theoretical and methodological approaches—such as **Immanuel Wallerstein's world-systems analysis** (The Modern World-System), **Robert Nisbet's evolutionary-developmental paradigm** (Social Change and History: Aspects of the Western Theory of Development), **Amitai Etzioni's normative-activist concept of society** (The Active Society: A Theory of Societal and Political Processes), **Margaret Archer's morphogenetic approach** (Realist Social Theory: The Morphogenetic Approach), and **Walter Buckley's systems theory** (Sociology and Modern Systems Theory)—political science still lacks an integrative concept of global stability capable of uniting institutional, structural, and cultural parameters of society into a single methodological paradigm.

Pakhrutdinov's methodology of **preventive threat management** is aimed precisely at filling this theoretical gap. It combines systemic analysis, historical continuity, and the evaluation of social, economic, spiritual, and informational factors. The approach involves early identification of potential crises, institutionalization of dialogue between the state and civil society, the formation of trust and consensus, and the strengthening of ideological and cultural potential. This makes it possible to develop sustainable strategies for preventing threats at both national and global levels.

Such a comprehensive approach not only fills a methodological gap in contemporary political science but also provides a practical instrument for ensuring long-term stability of the state and society in a globalized and rapidly changing world.

The scholar also emphasizes the need to abandon the **fatalistic perception of historical destiny**, considering social development as the result of interaction between political institutions, cultural traditions, and rational political activity. Historical examples from Eastern and Western philosophy—**Abu Nasr al-Farabi, Alisher Navoi, Jean-Jacques Rousseau, Immanuel Kant, Émile Durkheim, Leo Tolstoy, and Karl Jaspers**—demonstrate that the artificial opposition of the interests of social groups and states is destructive in nature and inevitably generates violence.

According to the author, **Karl Jaspers' concept of the "world order"** emphasizes the need to harmonize the interests of nations, strengthen political legitimacy, and develop mechanisms of consensual interaction instead of confrontation between opposites. This becomes critically important in conditions of global interdependence.

In the modern world, where political, economic, and social processes are closely intertwined, failure to take into account the interests of all participants in the system leads to escalating conflicts and growing instability. Pakhrutdinov's methodology of preventive threat management is oriented precisely toward this principle. It involves the early detection of potential crises, a systematic assessment of internal and external risks, the establishment of dialogue between state institutions and civil society, and the strengthening of trust and consensus among social groups.

Such a comprehensive approach makes it possible not only to minimize the consequences of threats but also to create sustainable long-term development strategies that ensure political stability, social harmony, and the preservation of national identity in a globalized and rapidly changing world.

Modern empirical examples—such as civil wars in several African countries and local conflicts in the Middle East—confirm the high socio-political cost of violent resolution of contradictions. In practice, violence provokes humanitarian crises, destruction of critical infrastructure, undermining of institutional legitimacy, growth of migration flows, and increased activity of terrorist and extremist movements, thereby weakening natural tendencies toward social resilience, political stability, and regional security.

On this basis, the author formulates a **political science paradigm of sustainable development**: systemic threat management, consensual coordination of interests, strengthening the legitimacy of institutions, and rational use of human potential are seen as key conditions for preventing crises and ensuring the resilience of society and the state.

Pakhrutdinov criticizes the traditional concept of the “**struggle of opposites**,” whose mechanical transfer from the natural sciences to sociology and political science is often used to justify violence, revolutions, and managed conflicts. In contrast, he proposes an approach based on coordination of interests, complementarity, and balance. Sustainable development, in his view, is achieved through harmony and jointly developed solutions rather than through suppression of opponents.

Contemporary global processes confirm the relevance of these ideas. The growing number of local wars, intensifying geopolitical competition, and the use of controlled civil conflicts demonstrate that violence does not lead to stability. The example of **Rwanda in 1994** vividly illustrates how violent resolution of ethnic and political contradictions leads to mass casualties, destruction of infrastructure, and long-term social trauma.

Examples from Africa and the Middle East show that local conflicts, when not systematically analyzed, evolve into global challenges, creating a chain reaction of humanitarian and political problems, including migration crises, economic instability, and the growth of terrorism.

Thus, Pakhrutdinov concludes that sustainable development requires a new approach based not on the struggle of opposites but on the search for harmony, mutual respect, and coordinated action. The traditional concept of violence and confrontation has proven ineffective, whereas a new philosophy of interaction and balance of interests may become the key to solving global problems and building a sustainable world.

The scholar also pays particular attention to a **philosophical critique of the mechanistic concept of the “struggle of opposites**,” which forms dichotomous schemes such as “us versus them” and “right versus wrong,” and serves as a theoretical justification for violence and conflict. According to Pakhrutdinov, this methodology creates the illusion of development through destruction but in reality leads to instability and social catastrophes.

In contrast, the author proposes the idea of **proportionality, complementarity, and consensus**, according to which sustainable development is possible only through a balance of interests, coordination of positions, and joint decision-making that takes into account the interests of all participants. Such an approach fosters an atmosphere of trust, reduces the risk of violence, and stimulates constructive cooperation within societies and between states.

Pakhrutdinov also emphasizes the importance of **systemic and preventive management of threats**. He proposes the development of mechanisms for early conflict detection, the active establishment of dialogue among different social groups, the strengthening of social trust, and the cultivation of civic responsibility. A preventive approach helps minimize internal tensions, preserve social cohesion, and ensure long-term stability.

Historical experience shows that many states with powerful economies and strong armies collapsed due to underestimating internal threats, ideological fragmentation, and spiritual crisis. Carelessness and indifference toward threats come at an extremely high cost for any nation and therefore have universal significance.

The author also criticizes the **“phased” or selective approach to threats**, in which they are divided into primary and secondary, internal and external, dangerous and “relatively safe.” According to him, such a practice leads to strategic blindness and creates conditions for the accumulation of crisis potential.

Modern processes—from regional conflicts to global economic shocks—confirm this: local problems, when not analyzed systematically, develop into global challenges, which requires states to adopt a comprehensive, long-term, and systemic approach to risk assessment.

A special place in the analysis is occupied by **ideological threats of the modern era**. The political scientist views ideology as a powerful instrument of influence on public consciousness, capable of both strengthening a state and destroying it from within. The imposition of alien values, the destruction of national identity, the distortion of historical memory, and the erosion of traditional norms create a serious danger to the integrity of society. In the context of globalization, these threats are intensified by transnational media, social networks, and ideological campaigns, which manifest themselves in radicalization, the growth of extremist movements, and the use of ideology as an instrument of geopolitical pressure.

Information threats are no less significant. Distorted information, manipulation of facts, and the deliberate dissemination of falsehoods can undermine trust in the state, provoke social apathy, or trigger uncontrolled aggression. Modern information wars, interference in electoral processes, and the spread of fake news during periods of crisis demonstrate the importance of the author’s ideas regarding the development of media culture and the formation of an informational immunity within society.

Economic threats examined by Professor Shukritdin Pakhrutdinov extend far beyond short-term crises and cyclical downturns. He emphasizes that the economy constitutes the fundamental basis of social stability and the viability of the state. Any systemic disruptions in the economy inevitably affect all spheres of public life—social, political, and cultural.

According to the author, dependence on external markets and global economic factors creates structural vulnerability, making countries particularly sensitive to external shocks, whether fluctuations in energy prices, instability of exchange rates, or financial crises in other states. Under such conditions, economic instability quickly transforms into social tension, the growth of protest sentiments, and the potential for conflict between different social groups.

The author also draws attention to the unequal distribution of resources within society as one of the key factors of economic threats. When wealth becomes concentrated in the hands of a limited number of individuals or groups while a significant portion of the population faces poverty and a lack of social guarantees, a favorable environment for conflict and social discontent emerges.

Historical experience shows that economic inequality often acts as a catalyst for revolutions, civil unrest, and political destabilization. The author notes that states ignoring problems of social inequality face a high probability of internal crises that may escalate into large-scale conflicts undermining the stability and integrity of society.

Contemporary processes vividly demonstrate the vulnerability of the global economy and confirm the ideas of Shukritdin Pakhrutdinov.

Sanctions wars, as the researcher notes, initiated by states to achieve political objectives, have a destructive impact on national economies, creating shortages of resources, rising prices, and social dissatisfaction. In recent years, restrictions on the export and import of technologies, financial resources, and strategic goods have led to the disruption of production chains, economic stagnation, and mass unemployment in certain sectors.

Similarly, energy crises caused by both political decisions and natural factors demonstrate countries' dependence on external sources of energy. Rising fuel prices and shortages of electricity place additional pressure on industry and social programs, exacerbating economic instability and increasing the risk of public protests.

The problem of rising inflation represents another example of an economic threat that directly affects the standard of living and social harmony. Rapid depreciation of national currencies, rising prices for goods and services, and declining purchasing power lead to increasing inequality and dissatisfaction with authorities. In the context of globalization, economic shocks caused by financial crises in other countries are immediately reflected in national markets, making states particularly vulnerable and requiring well-designed strategies of economic regulation.

Social inequality, identified by the author as a critical factor of economic threats, manifests itself not only through income disparities but also through limited access to education, healthcare, social benefits, and justice.

The author emphasizes that ignoring these problems creates fertile ground for political radicalization, the spread of extremist ideas, and the erosion of trust in state institutions. Modern studies show that countries with high levels of inequality are more likely to experience social unrest, increased crime rates, and political instability, which fully confirms the analytical perspective proposed by the author. To neutralize these threats, Professor Pakhrutdinov proposes several practical measures, among which the diversification of the economy is of key importance. He emphasizes that states must develop multi-sector economic models that do not depend solely on the export of raw materials or narrow industrial sectors. The development of industry, high technology, agriculture, and the service sector forms a sustainable economic foundation capable of withstanding external shocks. Moreover, diversification contributes to a more balanced distribution of economic benefits between regions and social groups, reducing the likelihood of internal conflicts and strengthening social cohesion.

Another equally important element is the social protection of the population, including the provision of accessible education, healthcare, social benefits, and fair employment.

Pakhrutdinov emphasizes that without reliable mechanisms of social support, economic crises inevitably escalate into political and humanitarian catastrophes. States capable of effectively

implementing such programs create an atmosphere of trust, reduce levels of social tension, and strengthen internal stability.

In the modern globalized world, economic threats acquire a transnational character, which makes their resolution even more complex. Financial crises in one country instantly affect the markets of other states; international trade restrictions disrupt supply chains and production; and fluctuations in energy and raw material prices can trigger waves of social protests. Pakhrutdinov warns that underestimating these interconnections and adopting an isolationist approach to the economy creates strategic vulnerability that cannot be compensated solely through internal resources.

Thus, economic stability, according to Pakhrutdinov, is not limited merely to GDP growth or financial indicators. It is considered a key factor of social and political stability, without which harmonious societal development is impossible.

Economic diversification and social protection of the population therefore become instruments for preventing conflicts, strengthening trust between the state and citizens, and increasing the long-term resilience of the country in the face of global economic and political challenges.

Historical and contemporary examples show that countries failing to recognize economic vulnerability and take measures to neutralize it often face destructive consequences. From the global economic crisis of 1929–1933 to modern sanctions wars and energy shocks, it is evident that economic instability quickly transforms into social and political threats. In this context, Professor Pakhrutdinov's ideas regarding economic diversification and social protection acquire not only theoretical but also strategic practical significance.

A central theme of the book is the analysis of spiritual threats, which Pakhrutdinov considers the most dangerous. Unlike economic or political crises, spiritual decline produces long-term and often irreversible consequences.

The weakening of moral values, the loss of cultural identity, and the destruction of educational and upbringing systems deprive society of its capacity for self-preservation. Modern tendencies—including the growth of individualism, cultural fragmentation, and the commercialization of education—further intensify these threats.

Social and political harmony can be achieved only through the development of strategies based on the coordination of interests among various social groups, early identification of potential sources of conflict, and their preventive resolution.

A modern state can apply the following measures to minimize threats:

establishing systems for early detection of conflicts;

involving all social groups in decision-making while considering the interests of minorities and vulnerable populations;

rejecting strategies of suppressing opponents and transitioning to peaceful, legally grounded methods of resolving contradictions;

ensuring transparency of governance, fairness in the distribution of resources, and equal access to justice;

cultivating values of tolerance, mutual assistance, and social responsibility;

developing sustainable political and economic models that take into account global trends, geopolitical risks, and internal social dynamics.

Historical experience demonstrates that direct threats often manifest themselves through deliberate flattery, loss of control over certain socio-spiritual processes, neglect of specific segments of the

population, growing feelings of isolation, indifference toward the consolidation of dissatisfied and opposition forces, concealment of negative tendencies, mass conformism, the separation of politics from science, the inability of the state to realistically assess its capabilities, and excessive pressure from governmental institutions.

If timely measures are not taken, such threats evolve into destructive processes, as demonstrated by the cases of Yugoslavia, Chechnya, and Tajikistan in the early 1990s.

In the context of the formation of a multipolar world, the ideas of Shukritdin Pakhrutdinov acquire particular relevance. The increase in the number of centers of power does not automatically ensure political and social stability; this requires an institutionalized culture of dialogue, strong spiritual and moral guidelines, and a critical rethinking of philosophical and methodological approaches to governing society and the state.

The author emphasizes that the formation of a new paradigm of development must be based on the priority of universal human values, principles of mutual responsibility, and the strengthening of institutional legitimacy. At the same time, the human factor remains the key instrument for preventing threats: historical and empirical experience shows that systematic vigilance, rational governance, and preventive control significantly reduce risks and limit potential dangers to society.

Methodology of Preventive Threat Management The methodology of Shukritdin Pakhrutdinov combines systemic threat analysis with practical mechanisms for their prevention. Its main elements include:

Early identification and assessment of threats — establishing monitoring systems for economic, social, political, and informational processes. Analytical centers should forecast risks and promptly inform state authorities about potential crises.

Multilevel institutional interaction — coordination between government institutions, civil society, and media to create a unified system of preventive response.

Formation of ideological and informational immunity — implementation of educational programs in media literacy, civic responsibility, national identity formation, and critical thinking.

Institutionalization of dialogue and consensus — creation of platforms for discussing social problems, independent conflict-resolution commissions, and early response systems to protest sentiments.

Strategic planning and integration of internal and external measures — development of long-term strategies for economic, social, and energy resilience while considering global risks and integrating domestic and foreign security policies.

Harmonization of spiritual, social, and cultural potential — development of education, upbringing, and cultural initiatives aimed at strengthening social cohesion and intergroup trust.

Practical Recommendations Based on Pakhrutdinov's methodology, the following practical steps are proposed for states and societies:

Establish analytical centers and monitoring systems for the early identification of threats.

Introduce programs to enhance media literacy and critical thinking among the population.

Develop strategies for economic and energy diversification, reduction of social inequality, and support for vulnerable social groups.

Create platforms for institutional dialogue, conflict resolution, and strengthening trust between social groups.

Promote cultural and educational initiatives that strengthen national identity and the spiritual potential of society.

Integrate domestic and foreign policy to reduce transnational risks, including cyber threats, terrorism, and climate change.

Conclusion Thus, the author's book "Threat to Development: Theory and Practice" by Professor Shukritdin Pakhrutdinov represents a profound and multidimensional study that retains high relevance under conditions of global instability. The author not only provides a detailed diagnosis of contemporary threats but also proposes an integrative philosophical, political-scientific, and practical framework for overcoming them.

The ideas of a comprehensive approach, spiritual resilience, preventive conflict management, and rejection of the logic of violent confrontation make this work a significant contribution to contemporary socio-political thought. Pakhrutdinov formulates a new paradigm of development in which security, social and political resilience, and the harmonization of social processes are achieved through institutional dialogue, consensus, and the strengthening of the spiritual and cultural potential of society. This approach becomes particularly important in the era of global challenges, multipolarity, economic instability, information wars, and cultural fragmentation.

The comprehensive and preventive approach proposed by Professor Pakhrutdinov enables states and societies to minimize the consequences of threats, increase social, economic, and political resilience, and ensure long-term, harmonious, and secure development. Harmonization of interaction between state institutions and civil society, strengthening spiritual and cultural potential, and the formation of ideological and informational immunity create the foundation for a stable and viable state in the conditions of the modern globalized world.

References:

1. Пахрутдинов Ш. Тараққийга таҳдид: назария ва амалиёт/Ш. Пахрутдинов. — Тошкент: Фан, 2021. — 456 б.
2. Пахрутдинов Ш. И. Угрозоустойчивое общество в качестве фактора развития государства и общества // Конфликтология. – 2016. – № 4. – С. 67-83.
3. К.Ясперс. Истоки истории и её цель. М, 1991 г, стр.89,94.
4. Политические науки, №6, 1997 г, стр. 6
5. Huntington S. The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order / S. Huntington. — New York: Simon & Schuster, 1996. — 432 p.
6. Fukuyama F. Political Order and Political Decay: From the Industrial Revolution to the Globalization of Democracy / F. Fukuyama. — New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2014. — 656 p.
7. Sachs J. The Age of Sustainable Development / J. Sachs. — New York: Columbia University Press, 2015. — 528 p.
8. Nye J.S. Soft Power: The Means to Success in World Politics / J.S. Nye. — New York: Public Affairs, 2004. — 288 p.
9. Комаров В.А. Глобальные угрозы и мировая политика / В.А. Комаров. — Москва: Наука, 2018. — 312 с.
10. Smith A., Johnson R. Global Conflicts and Economic Stability / A. Smith, R. Johnson. — London: Routledge, 2020. — 340 p.
11. Кузнецов С.В. Экономическая безопасность и диверсификация экономики / С.В. Кузнецов. — Санкт-Петербург: Питер, 2019. — 256 с.

12. Келлер М. Информационные войны в современном мире / М. Келлер. — Москва: Эксмо, 2020. — 400 с.
13. ООН. Всемирный доклад о человеческом развитии 2022 / United Nations Development Programme. — New York: UNDP, 2022. — 128 p.
14. Shadmanov, K. B., Shodiev, S. S., & Zayniddinovna, T. N. (2021). Islam in the evolution of social and political views of the Uzbek Jadids, their role in the formation of the idea of National Independence. *Central asian journal of social sciences and history*, 2(2), 44-50.
15. Shadmanov, K. (2003). Sovereign Uzbekistan and Issues of Philosophical Terminology. In XXI World Congress of Philosophy. Abstracts. Istanbul, Turkey (p. 358).
16. Kurban, B. S. (2024). Peripatetic philosophical thought of IX-XII centuries Central Asia and the European Renaissance: Novateur Publication.