

ABOUT PHILOSOPHICAL BELIEF

B.Nomanjonov,

Docent, Candidate of Philosophical Sciences
Fergana State University, Uzbekistan, Fergana

A B S T R A C T	K E Y W O R D S
This article aims to justify the philosophical interpretation of the divine faith, or-the philosophies of the interpretation of phenomena in existence and the use of explanations-rules, that is, the assertion that what phenomenon is in existence "can be explained through approximately selected reasons and used within the framework of that phenomenon (as it is considered)."	Idealism, materialism, subjectivism, objectivism, idealist, materialist, realist, atheist, mind, matter.

Introduction

It will be more effective to distinguish the emphasis that you want to make in the article from the following four cases.

1. It is usually required to react to any issue through the category "cause and effect", and this is – of course. But how to achieve this goal is not said. The reason is, for example, traffic, equipment, fire safety, bathing in the river, even in a just purchased ironing box, there are rules for how to use it-there are! But, and the rules of how to interpret phenomena in existence and how to use comments in existence - no! That is, they are not created. The resulting verbal, religious, scientific, political, and other discussions taking place in the market, bus, teahouse, and other public places because of someone's approval of their own are sometimes making appear to show their fists who cannot get up in their mouths. Thanks to the present, which in such cases is increasing from day to day, "one of our honored doctors of philosophy will say, "so enough!" did we get up and hear about the fact that he called the church to order on behalf of the science of philosophy and clarified the problem through the manual" How to interpret phenomena in existence and how to use comments in existence"? Have we seen? We haven't heard! We haven't seen it!

2. All social systems-agricultural, financial, educational, judicial, prosecutorial, presidential office, even the activities of employees of the national security service are controlled from the outside, that is, through the laws of society. Some of them even have their own internal security service. From the mistakes and shortcomings that were made as a result, sooner or later society becomes aware, taken in a certain sense. But there is such a single social category that is absolutely unresponsive to the mistakes it makes, that is, that making a mistake "takes the hook" so that its activities are not controlled from the outside or from the inside. More precisely the control mechanism, that is, there are no" rules for how to interpret phenomena in existence and how to use comments in existence". We are talking about the scientific activities of scientists. That is, new, but poor - quality scientific information is being

popularized by representatives of scientific information, and, as a result, scientific information consumers, unaware of anything, will continue to use them.

3. As you know, the worldview of mankind is divided into oral, religious, political, physical, mathematical, democratic, etc. Attached observers were given statuses of narrator, religious, politician, physicist, mathematician, democrat, etc. That is, believers-religious, politicians-political, physicists-physical, etc. – are specialists in the interpretation and use of other phenomena in existence. Philosophers, on the other hand, had to be observers who react to, that is, keep in control, how others interpret and use phenomena that belong to them in the brochure.

4. From the history of science, it is known that even when a simple reality based on thinking in a new way is advanced, its approvers do not appear at once. But those who blindly defend old thoughts say "crowd why are you looking, beat this stupid!" - he gathers immediately. The rest of the secretive live on the same side, waiting for the transition to the side of the winners. Especially for modern schoolchildren, when a new view began to appear that the "planet Earth revolves around its axis", which has become a simple reality even for modern schoolchildren, the "Masters of science of that time-the Jesuits "stuck Copernicus's "mouth for a lifetime", burned Djordano Bruno alive in the bonfire, suing Galileo and forcing him to admit that Planet Earth stands.

Do you say, "he can lie in bed in his yard, no matter who he is, of a man whose naughty times have already passed away, the current disseminators of scientific information are advancing some new thought?" let the essay tell you!

Within the framework of the 3 discussions in word, we will consider interpretations on the issue of philosophical belief, standing from the positions of 5 different observers (ever, - realist, idealist, materialist, religious and atheist). To do this, it is proposed to use according to the following scheme: A-condition; B-event; V-observer; G-cause; D-result, J-discussion.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

"Discussion №1: A) being(realism); B) rules for interpreting phenomena and using annotations; C) the realist philosopher, idealist, realist, materialist, religious, and atheist; g) the observer does not know, knows, and god knows; D)? C)?".

Discussion №2: A) the land of idealists, that is, the conditions in which any assumption is always confirmed; B) the rules for interpreting and using phenomena; V) idealist; g) The Observer does not know; D) any phenomenon can be used by interpreting it through approximately selected reasons.

Discussion №3: A) the land of materialists, that is, the conditions in which all objective reasons are stated in advance; B) the rules for isolating and using objects; V) materialist; g) the observer knows; D) What phenomenon should be used in isolation through factual objective reasons.

Don't say I haven't heard. In Uzbekistan, a transparent discussion has begun on the topic of how to interpret the phenomena of being and how to use the comments in being. Who is the blessing first?

Idealist. Let this tell the abscess (materialist) that any phenomenon can be explained and used through approximately selected causes. Because the observer cannot know in any way the objective, or vice versa, subjectivity of the approximately chosen cause. That is, consciousness is primary matter secondary. Right?

Realist. Partly right! Because being is the conditions that expose subjective causes through the conclusions that they give rise to, but, not confirmed.

Materialist. Well (had a head)! Therefore, tell the this tongue stupid (idealist) that any phenomenon should be used only by interpreting it through an objective cause. Because all conclusions in the nature of objective reasons are always confirmed. That is, matter is primary consciousness secondary. Right? Realist. Partly right! Because there are objective reasons conditions that are not predictable. They can be used in practice after prior disclosure. Therefore, approximately We are obliged to use the chosen reasons extremely carefully, that is, to follow the idealists. Simply put, idealistic and materialistic blind and lame hunters in existence. Therefore, an idealist who has fallen into existence must go hunting, carrying the materialist on his shoulders. That is, a realist philosopher has to be idealistic in theory and materialist in practice.

Religious. "Alhamdu lillah I'm Muslim! The last divine book of the Quran, Muhammad alaykhis Salam the last prophet".

Realist. That is, you are an observer who chooses to react to being on the basis of the Quran. The five time, Friday and Khayit pray, among which the prayer recited when the new moon comes out, are actually the rules for interpreting and using the day, week, month and year on the basis of the Quran through the Hijri account. Generally according to the religious-historical sequence of the Torah, the Gospel and the night that Quran are selected works of Allah. It is from this point of view that the Quran is the last divine book, Muhammad alaykhis Salam the last prophet. If someone claims the next prophethood, God will have to selectively give his equivalent, which does not leave a place for any objection, by revealing that there is an unverifiable conclusion in the nature of the cause that knows.

Atheist. Well! If a double is asked to be a double in place, even in mosque and church is too "4", i.e. "Allah knows", not "the observer knows". An experimental confirmation of the subjective nature of the cause of "God knows" as an experience, of the absence of God in general, is a simple fact that this greatest fool also understands! Right?

Realist. Absolutely wrong! The fact is that a quarter table that no one knows is revealed through the reason for "God knows", and its subjective equivalent in existence is transferred to the discretion of the reason under "the servant knows". That is, supporters of the reason for the "human knows" have the right to use, not ownership, in relation to the Carrara schedule. Or-there is no conflict between religion and atheism. All accidents and discussions on this issue are the personal problems of subjective believers, atheists and blind followers of religious, as well as atheistic philosophies, which are not completely formed in the worldview.

Which of the matter and of the mind is unity, whether God exists or not, the finite or infinite of the universe, etc. are useless activities that seem to ask Which of the chicken and eggs appeared before. Being (matter) forms the mind, which in turn forms the objective inicos of matter (being), the philosophical circle closes.

"God is not in your tongue, but in your heart". That is, no one was asked to discuss God openly, no one was ordered! All categories of observers can only discuss the nature of the reasons for "the servant knows, the servant does not know, and Allah knows" in the processes of interpretation and use of phenomena in the universe that he created, and not Allah himself. It is known that idealists, materialists and atheists have tried to express doubts about the objective nature of the cause of "God knows" in existence, but have not achieved any result so far.

And finally for idlers who ask "Which of the chicken and eggs appeared before": put that concrete chicken and egg in the middle; experts will tell in five minutes which of them appeared before, passing the necessary chemical, biological and other surveys. Therefore, leaving aside useless misfortunes and

discussions, the worldview of mankind lies hidden in the so-called huge warehouse of fairy tales, teachings, theories, principles, theorems, hypotheses and other information if Discussion: "ABVGDJ" is completed, if the necessary ones are separated, our students will have a guide, that is, a set of issues and solutions, from elementary philosophy, which will serve to a thorough formation of the scientific worldview.

Example: a) very ancient times; B) the attitude of a girl who was thrown out of the forest, sitting in a cart loaded with crates; C) the narrator; g) hard work and laziness; D) the consequences that will be known when the crate is opened; J)?".

Conclusion.

Naturally, those who read the fairy tale "Zumrad va Qimmat" cannot immediately tell if he is an emerald, or vice versa, seeing a girl who was thrown out of the forest as a phenomenon, sitting in a cart loaded with chests. As a result, two side comments are formed in the meanings "emerald sitting in the cart, not rather expensive sitting". Therefore, it is necessary to wait patiently and contentedly for the development of events in no hurry to draw conclusions. Because the truth can only be said depending on whether the consequence inside the chest is positive, or vice versa – negative.

This is exactly what philosophical belief implies.

References:

1. Алимасов В. Фалсафа ёхуд фикрлаш санъати. Тошкент, 2008.
2. Зиёмухаммедов Б. Комилликка элтувчи китоб. Тошкент, 2006.
3. Жакбаров М. Комил инсон ғояси - тарихий фалсафий таҳлил. Тошкент, 2000.
4. Ёўлдошев С. Жаҳон фалсафаси. Тошкент, 2004.
5. Марков Б.В. Философия антропология. Учебное пособие. 2-е изд. Питер, 2008.
6. ГАНИЕВ, Б., & Ганиева, М. С. (2019). Религиозно-исламские и духовные корни предпринимательской деятельности в Средней Азии. In *ИДЕАЛЫ И ЦЕННОСТИ ИСЛАМА В ОБРАЗОВАТЕЛЬНОМ ПРОСТРАНСТВЕ XXI ВЕКА* (pp. 332-335).
7. Шарифхужаев М., Рахимов Ф. Даврни белгилаб берган инсон. Тошкент, 2004.
8. Бозаров, Д. М., & Каримова, Г. Й. (2021). МУРАККАБ ИЖТИМОЙИЙ ТИЗИМЛАРДА ЎЗ-ЎЗИНИ ТАШКИЛЛАШТИРИШ МОДЕЛИНИНГ ЎРНИ. *Oriental Journal of Social Sciences*, 1(1), 1-9.
9. Teshaboev, M. ., Karimov, U. ., & Karimova, G. . (2023). SCIENTIFIC AND METHODOLOGICAL FOUNDATIONS OF APPLIED PHILOSOPHY. *Oriental Journal of Social Sciences*, 3(05), 69–80. <https://doi.org/10.37547/supsci-ojss-03-05-08>
10. Usmanov, N. (2021). The Philosophical Basis For The Formation Of Spiritual Maturity Among Young People. *Oriental Journal of Social Sciences*, 1(1), 33-37.
11. Ганиев, Б. С., & Эватов, С. С. (2020). ЗНАЧЕНИЕ И РОЛЬ ИСЛАМСКО-ТЕОРЕТИЧЕСКОГО (СУФИЙСКОГО) УЧЕНИЯ НАКШБАНДИЯ В ДУХОВНО-ПРОСВЕТИТЕЛЬСКОМ ВОСПИТАНИИ МОЛОДЕЖИ. In *I Международный форум "Богословское наследие мусульман России"* (pp. 253-256).
12. Фалсафа қомусий луғат. Тошкент, 2004.
13. Минто В. Дедуктивная и индуктивная логика. СПб. ТИТ. 1995.